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## Technical Memorandum

Date: Tuesday, January 27, 2015<br>Project: 6th Line Municipal Class Environmental Assessment<br>To: Scott MacKenzie, Town of Innisfil<br>From: Tyrone Gan - HDR

Subject: Needs Analysis: Travel Demand Forecasting

As part of the 6th Line Municipal Class Environmental Assessment, it is necessary to determine the required number of lanes for $6^{\text {th }}$ Line so that future growth can be sufficiently served. This technical memorandum summarizes the forecasting efforts that ultimately justify the widening of $6^{\text {th }}$ Line Road to support forecast 2031 travel demand.

Utilizing a detailed travel demand forecasting model, and incorporating the Town of Innisfil's ("the Town's") latest population and employment forecasts to the 2031 horizon year (including the development of the Sleeping Lion lands and the Alcona North and South Secondary Plan areas), the need for infrastructure improvements on $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ Line between County Road 27 and St. John's Road were assessed.

A summary of the recommendations detailed in this memorandum are as follows

- Without construction of the 6th Line / Highway 400 interchange:
- County Road 27 to Sideroad 20 - reconstruction to 2 lanes
- Sideroad 20 to St. John's Road- reconstruction and widening to 4 lanes
- With construction of the 6th Line / Highway 400 interchange:
- County Road 27 to Sideroad 20 - widening to 4 lanes
- Sideroad 20 to St. John's Road- reconstruction and widening to 4 lanes

The following memorandum documents the travel demand model forecasting procedure, assumptions and analysis which led to the recommendations for infrastructure improvements. The memo structure includes the following sections:

- Model Background
- Land Use Assumptions
- Transportation (Road) Network Assumptions
- Results Analysis for 3 scenarios tested


## Model Background

To assess future traffic conditions, a travel demand forecasting model was utilized. The Simcoe County TransCAD model used for the 2008 Simcoe TMP was obtained and modified for use for the 2013 Innisfil Transportation Master Plan (TMP) study. The model forecasts daily traffic and is meant to be used as a tool to guide decisions on the future needs of the Town.

The Simcoe model covers the entire Greater Toronto Area plus Simcoe County, and is comprised of 150 traffic zones, 6 of which are within Innisfil. For the TMP, traffic zone disaggregation was undertaken, and 26 new zones were added within Innisfil. Within the Alcona Urban Growth node, 8 new zones were added including two expansion areas (Alcona North and Alcona South).

The model was modified for the purposes of the $6^{\text {th }}$ Line Road Needs Analysis. Key inputs and modifications to the model are discussed later in this document and include population and employment forecasts and transportation network assumptions.

## Land Use Assumptions

The model's land use assumptions were updated to account for new developments in Alcona South and Alcona North, specifically the Sleeping Lion settlement proposed in Alcona South. Exhibit 1 illustrates the Town's settlement areas which were used as a basis to develop a traffic zone system for the Town of Innisfil. Zones 5 and 6 in Alcona were further disaggregated to produce more robust trip patterns within Alcona. Exhibit 2 illustrates the disaggregated zone system employed for Zones 5 and 6. Zones A, B and C in Exhibit 1 are the lands annexed by the City of Barrie which are accounted for in the model. Further discussion on these zones is provided below.

Table 1 presents the population and forecast assumptions by traffic zone with a comparison with the forecasts assumed for the 2013 TMP. The population forecast used for the EA increases by nearly 17,000 residents compared to the TMP, while employment forecasts increase by about 3,350 . This is all due to growth in Alcona, specifically Alcona South and the Sleeping Lion development.


Exhibit 1: Town of Innisfil Settlement Areas
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Exhibit 2: Alcona Traffic Zone Disaggregation

Table 1: Town of Innisfil 2031 Land Use Projections

| Traffic Zone | Settlement Area | Population |  | Employment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2031 | 2031 | 2031 | 2031 |
|  |  | TMP | New | TMP | New |
|  |  | Forecast | Forecast | Forecast | Forecast |
|  | Big Bay Cove | 7,356 | 7,356 | 1,233 | 1,233 |
| 2 | Sandy Cove | 9,551 | 9,551 | 303 | 303 |
| 3 a | Leonard's Beach , north | 619 | 619 | 0 | 0 |
| 3b | Leonard's Beach, south | 619 | 619 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Alcona North Expansion Area | 0 | 5,460 | 0 | 850 |
| 5 | Alcona North Existing Settlement, west | 2,385 | 2,385 | 173 | 173 |
| 5b | Alcona North Existing Settlement, central | 1,908 | 1,908 | 138 | 138 |
| 5 c | Alcona North Existing Settlement, east | 1,431 | 1,431 | 104 | 104 |
| 5d | Alcona North Existing Settlement, Alderslea | 1,908 | 1,908 | 138 | 138 |
| 5 e | Alcona North Existing Settlement, northeast | 1,908 | 1,908 | 138 | 138 |
| 6 | Alcona South Existing Settlement, west | 2,385 | 2,385 | 173 | 173 |
| 6b | Alcona South Existing Settlement, central | 4,055 | 4,055 | 294 | 294 |
| 6 c | Alcona South Existing Settlement, east | 2,147 | 2,147 | 156 | 156 |
| 6d | Alcona South Existing Settlement, south | 4,532 | 4,532 | 329 | 329 |
| 6 e | Alcona South Existing Settlement, Nantyr Park | 1,193 | 1,193 | 86 | 86 |
| 7 | Alcona South Expansion Area | 5,000 | 16,500 | 0 | 2,500 |
| 8 | Big Cedar Point | 819 | 819 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Lefroy - Belle Ewart | 8,218 | 8,218 | 534 | 534 |
| 10 | Gilford - Degrassi Point | 2,141 | 2,141 | 139 | 139 |
| 11 | Fennel's Corners | 196 | 196 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Churchill | 760 | 760 | 155 | 155 |
| 13 | Campus Node | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Stroud | 2,494 | 2,494 | 509 | 509 |
| 15a | Hwy 400 \& 89 Employment Area, west | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15b | Hwy 400 \& 89 Employment Area, east | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Cookstown | 3,477 | 3,477 | 709 | 709 |
| 17a | Innisfil Heights Expansion Area, west | 0 | 0 | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| 17b | Innisfil Heights Expansion Area, east | 0 | 0 | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| 18a | Innisfil Heights, northwest | 48 | 48 | 808 | 808 |
| 18b | Innisfil Heights, southwest | 48 | 48 | 808 | 808 |
| 18c | Innisfil Heights, northeast | 112 | 112 | 1,886 | 1,886 |
| 18d | Innisfil Heights, southeast | 112 | 112 | 1,886 | 1,886 |
|  | Total | 65,420 | 82,380 | 13,100 | 16,450 |

It should be noted that a planned institutional centre (identified as either community college or healthcare) located at $6^{\text {th }}$ Line and Yonge Street is in its planning stages; however, the number of jobs and students projected at this facility was not available prior to the forecasting work. Therefore the Campus was not included in these forecasts. However, if the analysis of the forecast results determines that widening is required without the facility, then it can be surmised that the need for widening would be strengthened with the introduction of the campus.

## Barrie Annexed Lands

Traffic zones A, B and C presented in Exhibit 1 represent lands annexed by the City of Barrie and slated for future development. During the TMP model build process, these lands were removed from the Innisfil Traffic Zone system and reallocated to adjacent Simcoe TMP traffic
zones located in Barrie as illustrated in Exhibit 3. The updated land use projections for these zones are provided in Table 2.


Exhibit 3: Annexed Barrie Lands Traffic Zone System
Table 2: Annexed Barrie Lands Land Use Projections

| Traffic <br> Zone | Area | 2031 <br> Population | 2031 <br> Employment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3 8 2 4}$ | Barrie Annexed Lands, west | 14,856 | 5,186 |
| $\mathbf{3 8 2 7}$ | Barrie Annexed Lands, west-central | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{3 8 2 9}$ | Barrie Annexed Lands, east-central | 12,802 | 1,709 |
| $\mathbf{3 8 3 0}$ | Barrie Annexed Lands, east | 13,129 | 506 |

## Transportation (Road) Network Assumptions

The assumed road network used to produce the demand forecasts for $6^{\text {th }}$ Line is the preferred road network as identified in the Town's TMP.

Exhibits Exhibit 4 to Exhibit 6 illustrate the assumed number of lanes, daily link capacities and free flow speeds respectively for the road network. Links shaded in grey denote centroid connectors. These plots are also provided separately, and are attached to this memorandum. It is noted that the speeds coded into the model do not represent actual posted speed limits. Free flow speeds have been adjusted in the transportation model for calibration against observed traffic volume data.

In order to determine the need for improvements to $6^{\text {th }}$ Line, a "Do Nothing" future horizon scenario was tested first. In this scenario, the model forecasted traffic on $6^{\text {th }}$ Line with one lane in each direction with an assumed daily capacity of $5,000 \mathrm{vpdpl}$ (vehicles per day per lane) with a free-flow speed of $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ between Highway 27 and 20 Sideroad. Although the actual free flow speed today is $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, as noted above the Simcoe county model is calibrated to $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speeds on all of Innisfil's local roads / lines.

Innisfil Beach Road is currently the main east-west arterial road connecting the Alcona Community to Highway 400. It was assumed that Innisfil Beach Road will operate with two lanes in each direction with a daily capacity of 10,000 vpdpl east of Highway 400 and a free-flow speed of $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ west of 20 Sideroad and $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ east of 20 Sideroad.

In total, seven scenarios were tested for $6^{\text {th }}$ Line, and are summarized in Table 3.
Table 3: Analysis Scenarios

| Scenario \# | Scenario | Speed (west of 20 Sdrd / east of 20 Sdrd) | Lanes (per direction) | Capacity vpdpl (west of 20 Sdrd / east of 20 Sdrd ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Highway } \\ & 400 \text { IC? } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1A | Do Nothing | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} / 40 \\ & \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 5,000 / 5,000 | No |
| 1B | Reconstruction | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} / 40 \\ & \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 6,500 / 5,000 | No |
| 1C | Base Case / Currently Planned | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} / 40 \\ & \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 6,500 / 5,000 | No |
| 2 | Higher Speed and Capacity | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} / 60 \\ & \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 10,000 / 6,500 | No |
| 3 | Base case plus Highway 400 IC | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} / 40 \\ & \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 6,500 / 5,000 | Yes |
| 4 | Higher Speed and Capacity plus Highway 400 IC | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} / 60 \\ & \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 10,000 / 6,500 | Yes |
| 5 | Widening, Higher Speed and Capacity, and Highway 400 IC | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} / 60 \\ & \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 10,000 / 6,500 | Yes |
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Exhibit 4: Number of Lanes - Base Case Scenario
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Exhibit 5: Daily Lane Capacities - Base Case Scenario (Vehicles per Lane per Day)
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Exhibit 6: Free-flow Speeds - Base or Do Nothing Scenario (Kilometres per Hour)

## Results Analysis

Results for the seven scenarios are provided in the following sections.

## Scenario 1A: Do Nothing

Exhibit 7 is a plot containing the results for Scenario $1 A$, which is the Do Nothing scenario. The links are coloured to illustrate their projected volume / capacity ratio in 2031 while the text indicates the forecast daily auto volume. With no change to the roadway, traffic from the Sleeping Lion development and other Alcona South development areas adjacent to $6^{\text {th }}$ Line will increase traffic on $6^{\text {th }}$ Line beyond capacity east of Yonge Street. Innisfil Beach Road volumes exceed capacity for the entire length between Highway 400 and Webster Blvd.


Exhibit 7: Scenario 1A - Do Nothing Auto Volume and Volume / Capacity Results

## Scenario 1B: Reconstruction

Exhibit 8 is a plot containing the results for Scenario 1B, which proposes to reconstruct $6^{\text {th }}$ Line through the Study Area. The reconstruction could increase capacity by providing wider lanes and paved shoulders. With this improved capacity, $6^{\text {th }}$ Line is still
approaching capacity east of Yonge Street, but operations are improved over Scenario 1A on $6^{\text {th }}$ Line, while Innisfil Beach Road remains above capacity.


Exhibit 8: Scenario 1B - Reconstruction Auto Volume and Volume / Capacity Results

## Scenario 1C: Base Case / Currently Planned

Exhibit 9 is a plot containing the results for Scenario 1C, and as per TMP recommendations, the assumed travel speed on $6^{\text {th }}$ Line is increased to $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ which results in demand exceeding capacity east of Yonge Street and approaching capacity between Yonge Street and 10 Sideroad. Innisfil Beach Road also remains above capacity for nearly the entire length between Highway 400 and Webster Blvd.


Exhibit 9: Scenario 1C - Base Case / Currently Planned Auto Volume and Volume / Capacity Results

## Scenario 2: Capacity and Speed Improvements

The plot for Scenario 2, which assumed improved lane capacity and free-flow speed on $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ Line, is presented in Exhibit 10. $6^{\text {th }}$ Line becomes a more attractive travel route between Alcona and Highway 400 due to the travel time savings that arise with a higher freeflow speed. However due to the increase in demand, $6^{\text {th }}$ Line is projected to operate above the assumed two-way daily capacity between 10 Side Road and 20 Side Road. Meanwhile Innisfil Beach Road will also continue to operate above its capacity; however, there is some diverted traffic forecasted from Innisfil Beach Road to $6^{\text {th }}$ Line.

In summary, the results of Scenarios 1 and 2 reveal that even if the interchange at Highway 400 is not constructed, $6^{\text {th }}$ Line will continue to be congested if not widened to 4 lanes with even worse congestion occurring on Innisfil Beach Road.


Exhibit 10: Scenario 2 - Capacity and Speed Improvements - Auto Volume and Volume / Capacity Results

## Scenario 3: Base Case plus Highway 400 IC

Exhibit 11 is a plot containing the results for Scenario 3, which is the base case where $6^{\text {th }}$ Line Road has an interchange to connect to Highway 400. From Yonge Street to Webster Blvd, $6^{\text {th }}$ Line is projected to carry demand above its capacity, while west of Yonge Street it is projected to be at or near capacity all the way to Highway 400. Meanwhile Innisfil Beach Road is projected to be above its capacity for nearly the entire length between Highway 400 and Webster Blvd.

The benefit of the interchange at Highway 400 and $6^{\text {th }}$ Line can be observed in that traffic volumes are projected to significantly decrease on 10 Side Road and Yonge Street. Traffic will not need to use these north/south roads in order to access Highway 400 at Innisfil Beach Road.


## Exhibit 11: Scenario 3 - Base/Do Nothing plus Highway 400 IC Auto Volume and Volume / Capacity Results

## Scenario 4: Capacity and Speed Improvements plus Highway 400 IC

The results for Scenario 4, which assumed increased lane capacity and free-flow speed on $6^{\text {th }}$ Line are illustrated in Exhibit 12. Due to the increased free-flow speed as a result of cross-sectional improvements, nearly the entire length of $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ Line is at or above its
practical daily capacity, even if the capacity per lane is also increased. The travel time savings that arise due to improved free-flow speeds make $6^{\text {th }}$ Line an attractive route compared to parallel rural roads. There is also some reduction in traffic projected along Innisfil Beach Road.


Exhibit 12: Scenario 4 - Capacity and Speed Improvements with Highway 400 IC Auto Volume and Volume / Capacity Results

Scenario 5: Widening with Capacity and Speed Improvements and Highway 400 IC
Scenario 5 , which assumes two lanes per direction on 6 th Line, capacity and speed improvements on $6^{\text {th }}$ Line and the Highway 400 interchange, performs the best from both a corridor and network perspective as shown in Exhibit 13. 6th Line is projected to carry about 18,000 vehicles per day per direction by 2031, which is below its capacity of 20,000 vehicles per day between Highway 400 and 20 Sideroad. However the portion east of 20 Sideroad will be above its capacity.

Meanwhile Innisfil Beach Road from east of Highway 400 to Yonge Street will also be relieved such that it will operate below its practical capacity as it is likely vehicles will be diverting to the widened $6^{\text {th }}$ Line Road.

Therefore, not only does a 4-lane $6^{\text {th }}$ Line improve operations along $6^{\text {th }}$ Line, it will also provide a network benefit.


Exhibit 13: Scenario 5 - Widening with Capacity and Speed Improvements Auto Volume and Volume / Capacity Results

## Summary Tables

Table 4, Table 5 and Table 6 summarize the results discussed above in tabular screenline format for eastbound traffic. Westbound traffic tables are similar as the model represents daily traffic which is typically similar for different directions. It is noted in all ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Line improvement scenarios, Innisfil Beach Road will likely be very congested in the future if all planned developments in the Town of Innisfil are built. The widening of $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ Line to 4 lanes plus a Highway 400 interchange (Scenario 5) provides the greatest amount of relief to Innisfil Beach Road while improving $6^{\text {th }}$ Line to carry a high volume of traffic.

Should the Highway 400 interchange not be built, traffic volumes will still increase on $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ Line, particularly between Yonge Street and 20 Sideroad, but given the number of alternative routes to access Yonge Street, there isn't a strong need to widen $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ Line west of 20 Sideroad until a major piece of infrastructure such as a Highway 400 Interchange is built on $6^{\text {th }}$ Line.

East of 20 Sideroad it is clear that an improvement such as road widening of $6^{\text {th }}$ Line is needed to support development.

It is noted that in the West of Yonge Screenline, $7^{\text {th }}$ Line is projected to have very little demand since it does not cross Highway 400. Even though the roadway capacity is there in the screenline, very little traffic will use this Road west of Yonge Street to divert away from congestion on Innisfil Beach Road and $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ Line.

Table 4: Screenline Capacity Summary Table

| Eastbound | Scenario 1A | Total Capacity (vehicles per day) |  |  |  | Scenario | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scenario } \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1B | Scenario 1 C | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scenario } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | Scenario |  |  |
| Link / Screenline | East of 400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Innisfil Beach Road | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| 6th Line | 5,000 | 6,500 | 6,500 | 10,000 | 6,500 | 10,000 | 20,000 |
| TOTAL | 25,000 | 26,500 | 26,500 | 30,000 | 26,500 | 30,000 | 40,000 |
| Link / <br> Screenline | West of Yonge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Innisfil Beach Road | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| 7th Line | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| 6th Line | 5,000 | 6,500 | 6,500 | 10,000 | 6,500 | 10,000 | 20,000 |
| TOTAL | 30,000 | 31,500 | 31,500 | 35,000 | 31,500 | 35,000 | 45,000 |
| Link / <br> Screenline | East of Yonge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Innisfil Beach Road | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| 7th Line | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| 6th Line | 5,000 | 6,500 | 6,500 | 10,000 | 6,500 | 10,000 | 20,000 |
| TOTAL | 30,000 | 31,500 | 31,500 | 35,000 | 31,500 | 35,000 | 45,000 |
| Link / | East of 20 Sideroad |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Innisfil Beach Road | 13,000 | 13,000 | 13,000 | 13,000 | 13,000 | 13,000 | 13,000 |
| 7th Line | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| 6th Line | 5,000 | 6,500 | 6,500 | 6,500 | 5,000 | 6,500 | 13,000 |
| TOTAL | 23,000 | 24,500 | 24,500 | 24,500 | 23,000 | 24,500 | 31,000 |

Table 5: Screenline Auto Volume Summary Table

| Eastbound | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scenario } \\ \text { 1A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scenario } \\ \text { 1B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scenario }^{\text {Al }} \\ \text { 1C } \end{gathered}$ | o Volume Scenario 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scenario } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scenario } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scenario } \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East of 400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Innisfil Beach Road | 23,666 | 23,674 | 23,038 | 22,869 | 22,518 | 22,010 | 20,960 |
| 6th Line | 1,281 | 2,325 | 5,292 | 7,241 | 6,618 | 11,008 | 18,902 |
| TOTAL | 24,947 | 25,999 | 28,330 | 30,110 | 29,136 | 33,018 | 39,862 |
| Link / <br> Screenline | West of Yonge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Innisfil Beach Road | 20,928 | 21,017 | 20,753 | 20,149 | 21,080 | 20,051 | 18,843 |
| 7th Line | 324 | 226 | 27 | 0 | 51 | 4 | 0 |
| 6th Line | 1,840 | 3,528 | 5,959 | 9,865 | 6,175 | 10,938 | 19,395 |
| TOTAL | 23,092 | 24,771 | 26,739 | 30,014 | 27,306 | 30,993 | 38,238 |
| East of Yonge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Innisfil Beach Road | 23,516 | 23,128 | 23,147 | 22,599 | 22,822 | 22,166 | 21,440 |
| 7th Line | 4,570 | 4,304 | 4,269 | 3,539 | 4,096 | 3,488 | 1,398 |
| 6th Line | 4,454 | 6,097 | 7,065 | 11,317 | 7,024 | 11,229 | 19,065 |
| TOTAL | 32,540 | 33,529 | 34,481 | 37,455 | 33,942 | 36,883 | 41,903 |
| Link / <br> Screenline | East of 20 Sideroad |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Innisfil Beach Road | 22,438 | 22,574 | 22,875 | 22,896 | 22,955 | 22,955 | 19,888 |
| 7th Line | 8,419 | 8,255 | 8,269 | 8,320 | 8,333 | 8,501 | 7,166 |
| 6th Line | 7,876 | 8,213 | 7,858 | 7,968 | 7,883 | 8,044 | 17,141 |
| TOTAL | 38,733 | 39,042 | 39,002 | 39,184 | 39,171 | 39,500 | 44,195 |

Table 6: Screenline Volume to Capacity Ratio Summary Table

| Eastbound | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scenario } \\ \text { 1A } \end{gathered}$ | Scenario 1B | Volume / Scenario 1 C | Capacity Rat Scenario 2 | Scenario 3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scenario } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scenario } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | East of 400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Innisfil Beach Road | 1.18 | 1.18 | 1.15 | 1.14 | 1.13 | 1.10 | 1.05 |
| 6th Line | 0.20 | 0.36 | 0.81 | 0.72 | 1.02 | 1.10 | 0.95 |
| TOTAL | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.07 | 1.00 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.00 |
| Link / Screenline | West of Yonge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Innisfil Beach Road | 1.05 | 1.05 | 1.04 | 1.01 | 1.05 | 1.00 | 0.94 |
| 7th Line | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 6th Line | 0.28 | 0.54 | 0.92 | 0.99 | 0.95 | 1.09 | 0.97 |
| TOTAL | 0.73 | 0.79 | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.87 | 0.89 | 0.85 |
| Link / Screenline | East of Yonge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Innisfil Beach Road | 1.18 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.13 | 1.14 | 1.11 | 1.07 |
| 7th Line | 0.91 | 0.86 | 0.85 | 0.71 | 0.82 | 0.70 | 0.28 |
| 6th Line | 0.89 | 0.94 | 1.09 | 1.13 | 1.08 | 1.12 | 0.95 |
| TOTAL | 1.03 | 1.06 | 1.09 | 1.07 | 1.08 | 1.05 | 0.93 |
| Link / Screenline | East of 20 Sideroad |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Innisfil Beach Road | 1.73 | 1.74 | 1.76 | 1.76 | 1.77 | 1.77 | 1.53 |
| 7th Line | 1.68 | 1.65 | 1.65 | 1.66 | 1.67 | 1.70 | 1.43 |
| 6th Line | 1.58 | 1.26 | 1.21 | 1.23 | 1.58 | 1.24 | 1.32 |
| TOTAL | 1.68 | 1.59 | 1.59 | $1.60$ | 1.70 | 1.61 | 1.43 |

## Conclusion and Recommendations

Based on the 2031 horizon year analysis conducted for the $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ Line Environmental Assessment the following recommendations are made for improving $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ Line:

- Without the construction of the 6th Line / Highway 400 interchange:
- County Road 27 to Sideroad 20 - reconstruction to 2 lanes
- Sideroad 20 to St. John's - reconstruction and widening to 4 lanes
- With construction of the 6th Line / Highway 400 interchange:
- County Road 27 to Sideroad 20 - widening to 4 lanes
- Sideroad 20 to St. John's - reconstruction and widening to 4 lanes

