## Notice of Appeal to Tribunal by Owner of Land or Public Utility

Re: Engineer's Report

Drainage Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. D.17, subs. 48(1)

To: The Council of the Corporation of the 10WN	NNISFIL								
RE: SOUTH INNISFIL CREEK	DRAIN								
(Name of	Drain)								
Take notice that I/we appeal to the Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs A because of dissatisfaction with the report of the engineer on the ground		er section 48(1),							
The benefit to be derived from the drainage works are not commens	urate with estimated cost thereof;								
The drainage works should be modified on grounds to be stated;									
The compensation or allowances provided by the engineer are inade	equate or excessive; or								
The engineer has reported that the drainage works is not required, or is impractical, or cannot be constructed.									
Details of application and relief being sought from Tribunal (attach additional	pages if needed):								
SEE PAGE ATTACHED									
I am/we are appealing as:   Owner(s) of Land Public utility									
Property Owners or Public Utility Appealing to Tribunal									
Your municipal property tax bill will provide the property description and part	rcel roll number.								
• In rural areas, the property description should be in the form of (part) lot and	d concession and civic address.								
<ul> <li>In urban areas, the property description should be in the form of street addr</li> </ul>	ress and lot and plan number, if available.								
If appealing to Tribunal regarding multiple properties, attach additional page	e with property information.								
Property Description									
Ward or Geographic Township Parce	tel Roll Number (4 DARCEIS)	12700							
CONC 3 S PT LOT 13  Ward or Geographic Township  INNISFIL (SIMCOE) 4316-010-	002 - 14400,120	200,12800							
If property is owned in partnership, all partners must be listed. If property is o corporate position of the authorized officer. Only the owner(s) of the property	wned by a corporation or public utility, list the								
Sole Ownership									
Individual or Sole Ownership									
Name (Last Name, First Name)	Signature	Date (yyyy/mm/dd)							
YAMAMOTO, KERRY	K. Yamanioto	APRIL 9,2019							

Enter the mailing add	ress and prima	ry contac	t information	on of appellant	below:	•
Last Name					First Name	Middle Initial
YAMAMOTO					KERRY	
Mailing Address			8		1	
Unit Number   Street/Road Number   Street/Road Name			id Name		PO Box	
			2548	3 3rd	LINE	
City/Town					Province	Postal Code
CHURCH	HILL				ONT	LOL 1KO
Telephone Number		Cell Pho	ne Number	(Optional)	Email Address (Optional)	
705 456 2	2542			1 =		
To be completed by r	recipient munici	ipality:				ge.
Notice filed this 10	day of	Apr		20 9		
Name of Clerk (Last I	Name, First Na	me)			Signature of Clerk	
Park.	n, Le	٤			Lech	

**Appeal Commenced by Notice**: The clerk of the municipality must record the appeal and send a copy to the Tribunal and to all persons assessed for the drainage works. *Drainage Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. D.17, s. 99.

**Timeline for Appeal:** This notice of appeal must be served within forty days after the sending of the notice under section 40 or subsection 46 (2). *Drainage Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. D.17, subs. 48(1)(d).



Town of maistil Clerk's Services



ATTACHMENT OF PAGES TO NOTCE OF APPEAL TO TRIBONAL BY OWNER OF LAND RE! ENGINEEP'S PEROPT

SUBJECT: DRAIN CROSS ING (C44)

AS STATED IN MY LETTER DATED MARCHIT 2019 (EXHIBIT "A") TO THE TOWN OF INNISFIL ADDRESSING THE CONCERNS OF THE ATO' HWY 400 CROSSINGS) CAR, THERE IS NO DEFINITE TIMELINE COMMITTED ON CONSTRUCTION OR COMPLETION. AS THE "PERMANENT" SOLUTION OF THE CROSSING IS WHEN THE WIDENING & IMPROVENIENTOCCORS (10-20) YEARS AWAY EXHIBIT "B" (ITEM #5 OF THE MINUTES OF NOV30 2018 MEETING BETWEEN MTO STAFF, PJ BURNSINE AND TOWN OF INNISFIL THE PROPOSED "INTERUM" DESIGN + CONSTRUCTION OF THE CROSSING IS SUBJECT TO "FUNDING PENDING" (EXHIBIT C) ACCORDING TONTO'S JOHN VAN VOORST CORRESPONDANCE DATED SAN 4208.

THIS IS NOT ACCEPTABLE AS THERE AGAIN
THERE MY COULD/WILL BE NO COMMITMENT ON
START & COMPLETION OF THE WORKS

A COMMENT FROM THE MINUTED OF THE NOW 30th 2018 MEETING OF MTO STAFF, RJ. B. RNSIDE

REFERENCE ROBY WATERS (ENHIBIT "E") 1HAS APPLIED

IN HIS DECISION OF MARCH 31 2005 ITEM #6

COURT FILE # B7552 WHERE HE ORDERED TOWN

OF INNISFIL PAY OUT OF GENERAL LEVY 400.000"

COSTS INCURRED WITH THIS PROJECT AS PER

SECTION 118 (2) OF THE DRAINAGE AT.

AND TO I STAFF (EXHIBIT "D") "IF NOTHING IS

DONE WITH CAY, THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENT WILL

CREATE A AKM LONG SEPTLING POND DUE TO

EXTREME FLAT UPSTREAM GRADIENT, "IF THE CAY

AREA IS NOT ADDRESSED PROMPTLY AND WE RECEIVE

A STORM EVENT, THE PLOODING WOULD CREATE A

MUCH MORE DISASTEROOS EFFECT THAN IF NOTHING

IS DONE. AT PRESENT THERE IS NO ADEQUETE

PELEIF OUTLET AT THIS PERTION OF THE DRAIN.

AS A LANDOWNER, I FEEL THERE IS NO SECURITY OF THE PROJECT WORKING TO ITS POTENTIAL IF THIS IS NET REMEDIED. HAVING SIGNIFIGANT CAPATAL RESOURCE SPENT/ALLOCATED TO THIS "IMPROVE MENT" WHER THERE IS SUCH UNCERTAINLY REGARDING.

AS IN PAST HISTORY OF THE TOWN. THE MAINTAINAR FILE PAST DECADES. AND A LANDBUINED HAS FILED REQUESTS FOR MAINTAINABLE AFTER
SEVERAL MATOR FLOODING EVENTS. AND BECAUSE OF
YEARS OF NEGLECT WE HAVE ARRIVED AT THIS POINT
OF THE PROCESS. IN CONCLUSION, I don'T FEEL COMPORTANT
IN INVESTING SUCH A LARGE FINANCIAL COMMITMENT
WHEN THERE IS SO MANY VARIABLES. INVOLVED

THE ENTIRE COSTS SHOULD BE ABSORBED
THROUGH THE GENERAL LEUY SUCH AS